



**SOUTH BURNETT
REGIONAL COUNCIL**

**Not Acceptable: must be an Original.
Or-Certified Copy under oath of office**

Copy Receipt

How can a receipt be issued before payment is made? Very Very Dodgy -



PO Box 336
Kingaroy QLD 4610
Phone: 07 4189 9100
Fax: 07 4162 4806
Email: info@sbrc.qld.gov.au
Website: www.southburnett.qld.gov.au

Wrong format: → ABN 899 72 46 3351

Yet another act of Fraud; being a New: Fictitious conveyance of language – this is not a name of a living man or woman – it is just a fictional joinder – to claim ownership over the living.

**Date
Time
Register
Staff**

RBO00769520

31 Jul 2024
7:20:20AM

Michelle
Who is this?

D P Rawlinson
136 Martin Crescent
BENARKIN NORTH QLD 4314

This is not the living name of the man “Beneficiary” who paid the claim, or the created name Denis Peter Rawlinson, who was the accused.

Correct time of Deposit was 1:05 PM
Much later than time claimed 6Hrs
Differant time Zone outside Australia

Item/Description	Qty	Price	GST	Amount
Property ID: 166420 136 Martin Crescent BENARKIN NORTH QLD 4314				
Rates	1.00	9,772.71		9,772.71
Total Amount:				9,772.71

Payment	Amount
CBA Agency New	9,772.71
Total Tended	9,772.71
Rounding Amount	0.00
Change	0.00
Includes GST	0.00

Take Note Mark Pitt: CEO, for and on behalf of the Actors of the SOUTH BURNETT REGIONAL COUNCIL, ABN-89972463351; DUNS-757803718: 3rd-August 2024
After further thought

This supposed Receipt is totally unacceptable; in fact it’s an attempted crime!

With an unknown created fiction name, being a fictitious conveyance of language; that has no connection to the living man or woman, who made payment, needing a true receipt, that will stand as evidence in a court of Law.

Therefore: it is my firm belief, that either you, Mark Pitt, acting as CEO or those under you; are committing the crime of misappropriation of Funds, by your failure to supply an “Original Receipt”, containing the name and signature of the living man or woman receiving the payment, which can only be done by a living man or woman, not a name on a piece of paper, that is inert, and is incapable of handling such a task; and

As all living men and women are equal under the Law, I demand an Original Receipt, containing the name and signature of the living man or woman receiving the demanded payment, to prove beyond all doubt that payment has been made, for the accused, named “Denis Peter Rawlinson”, nothing less will do to satisfy, being prima facie evidence for a de jure Court of Law, as no supplied copy will stand as factual evidence along with some created joinder D P Rawlinson, having nothing to do with the accused, thus being Fraud.

Therefore: should I, Denis-Peter: the Beneficiary being harmed, by not receive an Original Receipt for payment, containing a living name and signature, showing that the full payment demanded against the accused, Denis Peter Rawlinson, has been paid in full with credit owing having been paid one month in advance, by the living man “Denis-Peter: the sole Beneficiary”, then I, the man, Denis-Peter: reserves the right to report this failure under the suspicion of crimes being committed, against one of the people of the Commonwealth of Australia, thus then, demand a forensic audit into this matter under the suspicion of misappropriation of money paid under duress, and not lawfully receipted with an original receipt named and signed with the one authorised to acknowledge the clearing of a claimed debt, as a result leaving that fact always in doubt.

Therefore Mark Pitt: you are here given fourteen days to rectify this action you have taken, that is causing harm, and violating the unalienable rights of the sole beneficiary Denis-Peter: an unincorporated entity.

Acceptance of a cheque and the issue of a receipt is conditional of the proceeds, and until collection, no credit is given or implied.